

PEYTO

Energy Trust

2



*Interim Report
for the three months ended June 30, 2009*

Highlights

| | 3 Months Ended June 30 | | % | 6 Months Ended June 30 | | % |
|--|------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | Change | 2009 | 2008 | Change |
| Operations | | | | | | |
| Production | | | | | | |
| Natural gas (mcf/d) | 90,191 | 97,819 | (8)% | 93,078 | 99,644 | (7)% |
| Oil & NGLs (bbl/d) | 2,950 | 3,226 | (9)% | 2,986 | 3,430 | (13)% |
| Thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcf/d @ 1:6) | 107,892 | 117,175 | (8)% | 110,993 | 120,224 | (8)% |
| Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d @ 6:1) | 17,982 | 19,530 | (8)% | 18,499 | 19,936 | (7)% |
| Realized product prices | | | | | | |
| Natural gas (\$/mcf) | 6.14 | 9.32 | (34)% | 6.93 | 8.90 | (22)% |
| Oil & NGLs (\$/bbl) | 43.42 | 107.45 | (60)% | 43.94 | 95.08 | (54)% |
| Operating expenses (\$/mcf) | 0.43 | 0.43 | - | 0.44 | 0.44 | - |
| Transportation (\$/mcf) | 0.11 | 0.11 | - | 0.11 | 0.11 | - |
| Field netback (\$/mcf) | 5.23 | 7.69 | (32)% | 5.76 | 7.40 | (22)% |
| General & administrative expenses (\$/mcf) | 0.19 | 0.18 | 6% | 0.21 | 0.19 | 11% |
| Interest expense (\$/mcf) | 0.39 | 0.56 | (30)% | 0.37 | 0.54 | (31)% |
| Financial (\$000, except per unit) | | | | | | |
| Revenue | 62,016 | 114,543 | (46)% | 140,439 | 218,971 | (36)% |
| Royalties | 5,417 | 26,861 | (80)% | 13,707 | 46,125 | (70)% |
| Funds from operations | 45,527 | 74,113 | (39)% | 104,134 | 145,068 | (28)% |
| Funds from operations per unit | 0.43 | 0.70 | (39)% | 0.98 | 1.37 | (28)% |
| Total distributions | 39,211 | 46,605 | (16)% | 80,520 | 91,403 | (12)% |
| Total distributions per unit | 0.37 | 0.44 | (16)% | 0.76 | 0.86 | (12)% |
| Payout ratio | 86 | 63 | 37% | 77 | 63 | 22% |
| Earnings | 29,189 | 31,412 | (7)% | 92,763 | 63,852 | 45% |
| Earnings per diluted unit | 0.28 | 0.30 | (7)% | 0.87 | 0.60 | 45% |
| Capital expenditures | 4,671 | 21,528 | (78)% | 17,707 | 54,587 | (68)% |
| Weighted average trust units outstanding | 106,315,789 | 105,920,194 | - | 106,119,089 | 105,876,470 | - |
| As at June 30 | | | | | | |
| Net debt (before future compensation expense and unrealized hedging gains) | | | | 399,513 | 454,417 | (12)% |
| Unitholders' equity | | | | 661,003 | 419,922 | 57% |
| Total assets | | | | 1,292,556 | 1,196,367 | 8% |
| Cash flows from operating activities | 50,193 | 66,087 | | 102,295 | 118,570 | |
| Provision for performance based compensation | (536) | 5,349 | | 614 | 8,845 | |
| Change in non-cash working capital | (4,130) | 2,677 | | 1,226 | 17,653 | |
| Funds from operations ⁽¹⁾ | 45,527 | 74,113 | | 104,134 | 145,068 | |

(1) Funds from operations - Management uses funds from operations to analyze the operating performance of its energy assets. In order to facilitate comparative analysis, funds from operations is defined throughout this report as earnings before performance based compensation, non-cash and non-recurring expenses. Management believes that funds from operations is an important parameter to measure the value of an asset when combined with reserve life. Funds from operations is not a measure recognized by Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and does not have a standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP. Therefore, funds from operations, as defined by Peyto, may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers, and investors are cautioned that funds from operations should not be construed as an alternative to net earnings, cash flow from operating activities or other measures of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP. Funds from operations cannot be assured and future distributions may vary.

Report from the president

Peyto Energy Trust (“Peyto”) is pleased to present the operating and financial results for the second quarter of the 2009 fiscal year. Peyto is an explorer and producer of unconventional tight gas assets in Alberta’s Deep Basin and using its trust structure is able to flow profits from the success of that business to its unitholders in the form of distributions.

Peyto is well known for owning high quality, sweet natural gas assets that exhibit long reserve life, low operating costs and high revenue per mcfe. The following summarizes the Trust’s foundation:

- Long reserve life – Proved Producing 14 years, Total Proved 17 years, Proved plus Probable 23 years
- Low operating costs - \$0.43/mcfe (\$2.56/boe), three months ending June 30, 2009
- High heat content natural gas stream – Realized revenue of \$4.52/mcfe (\$27.12/boe) before hedging, \$6.32/mcfe (\$37.90/boe) after hedging, three months ending June 30, 2009
- Low base general and administrative costs - \$0.19/mcfe (\$1.16/boe), three months ending June 30, 2009
- High field netback – \$5.23/mcfe (\$31.35/boe) or 83% of revenue, three months ending June 30, 2009
- High level of operatorship – operates over 97% of its production
- Cash distributions – cash distributions of \$39.2 million were 86% of funds from operations for the three months ending June 30, 2009
- Since inception, Peyto has raised a total of \$500 million issuing units from treasury, accumulated earnings of \$1.0 billion, and distributed \$890 million to unitholders
- Transparent capital structure - no convertible debentures, no exchangeable shares, no stock options, no warrants

The second quarter of 2009 was highlighted by improved financial flexibility and strong margins, amid weak natural gas prices, as illustrated by the following:

- Closed an offering of 9,000,000 trust units at \$10.50/unit for net proceeds of \$89 million
- Net debt decreased \$91.1 million from Q1 2009 to \$399.5 million in Q2 2009 and was down 12% from \$454.4 million in Q2 2008. This leaves available borrowing capacity of \$150 million on bank lines of \$550 million, secured by over \$2.7 billion in Proved Producing assets (2008 PP NPV₅)
- Natural gas prices before hedges were 62% lower in Q2 2009 with prices averaging \$3.99/mcf versus \$10.46/mcf in Q2 2008. After hedging, Q2 2009 gas prices were 34% lower at \$6.14/mcf versus \$9.32/mcf for the previous period
- Cash netbacks for the quarter averaged \$4.65/mcfe (\$27.82/boe) versus \$6.94/mcfe (\$41.70/boe) a year ago which represents 73% of revenue
- Capital expenditures – \$4.7 million was invested into finding and developing new natural gas reserves, down from \$13.0 million in the previous quarter, and down from \$21.5 million in Q2 2008
- Production - decreased 8% from 117,175 mcfe/d (19,530 boe/d) in the second quarter of 2008 to 107,892 mcfe/d (17,982 boe/d) in the second quarter of 2009
- Per unit funds from operations – decreased 39% from the previous year to \$0.43/unit
- Hedging – a \$17.6 million gain for the three months ending June 30, 2009 was realized
- A total of \$39.2 million or \$0.37/unit was distributed to unitholders in the second quarter of 2009. For 2009 to date, \$80.5 million has been distributed out of \$92.8 million in earnings

Natural gas volumes recorded in thousand cubic feet (mcf) are converted to barrels of oil equivalent (boe) using the ratio of six (6) thousand cubic feet to one (1) barrel of oil (bbl). Natural gas liquids and oil volumes in barrel of oil (bbl) are converted to thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcfe) using a ratio of one (1) barrel of oil to six (6) thousand cubic feet. This could be misleading if used in isolation as it is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applied at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.

Quarterly Review

Peyto invested \$4.7 million into drilling and connecting new Deep Basin gas wells in the second quarter of 2009. The majority of this capital was spent in June following spring breakup access restrictions. Drilling and completions accounted for \$3.1 million, while wellsite equipment and pipelines accounted for \$1.5 million. Minor amounts were spent on additional land and seismic data, making up the balance of the capital expenditures.

In the second quarter, the Trust spud 3 gross (2.5 net, 83% working interest) gas wells and brought on production 2 gross (1.5 net) zones. No new completions were commenced in the quarter. Production for the quarter averaged 107,892 mcf/d (17,982 boe/d) down from 117,177 mcf/d (19,530 boe/d) in Q2 2008.

Peyto's industry leading operating costs of \$0.43/mcfe (\$2.56/boe) and transportation expenses of \$0.11/mcfe (\$0.67/boe) were maintained in Q2 2009, in line with the previous quarter and prior year period. Royalties totaled \$5.4 million in the quarter, representing 8.7% of sales or \$0.55/mcfe (\$3.31/boe). Natural gas prices for the second quarter 2009 averaged \$6.14/mcf, after hedging gains of \$2.15/mcf, while liquids prices averaged \$43.42/boe. The high heat content, premium gas price that Peyto achieved, elevated by hedging gains, combined with its low operating costs, transportation and royalty expense resulted in field netbacks of \$5.23/mcfe (\$31.35/boe) for the quarter. Subtracting G&A and interest expense of \$0.19/mcfe and \$0.39/mcfe respectively, reduced field netbacks to a corporate netback of \$4.65/mcfe or 73% of revenue. Peyto believes this is one of the highest margins in the industry.

On June 26, 2009, Peyto closed an offering of 9,000,000 trust units at a price of \$10.50 per unit. Net proceeds of this offering were used to initially pay down outstanding bank debt and will subsequently fund an increased capital program for the balance of the year.

Activity Update

Since the end of the second quarter, Peyto has been active with three drilling rigs in the Alberta Deep Basin. Two of the rigs are exploring in the Kakwa and Greater Sundance areas while the third rig is being used to develop proven reserves in the Nosehill and Oldman areas using horizontal multi-stage fracture technology. Along with early positive indicators from offsetting analog producers and the 3-point Crown royalty incentives, this technology is expected to yield greater profitability than can be achieved with traditional vertical wells.

Peyto continues to closely monitor existing producing wells for positive funds flow during this time of low natural gas prices. To date, only a few low rate wells have been shut in because of low gas prices (30 to 40 boe/d). This is a reflection of the high profitability of Peyto's production, even at low commodity prices. New production additions will be scheduled to maximize overall returns while at the same time allowing the Trust to further prove the enhanced profitability of new technologies.

Marketing

North American natural gas prices continue to come under pressure as storage volumes have reached record levels for this time of year and there continues to be excess supply. Further, the Canadian dollar has strengthened against its US counterpart, putting additional pressure on prices here. Alberta daily spot prices for July 2009 averaged \$2.94/GJ, a price not seen since July of 2002. At the same time, there is a growing consensus that supply reductions caused by reduced North American drilling activity will eventually correct the over supply situation. This consensus is evidenced by stronger futures prices for this coming winter and beyond which are trading in excess of \$5/GJ. Peyto has continued to secure these better future prices and as of June 30 2009, committed to the forward sale of 15,680,000 gigajoules (GJ) of natural gas at an average price of \$7.38/GJ or \$8.63/mcf (representing a 17% premium heat content). Had these contracts been closed on June 30, 2009, the Trust would have realized a gain of \$39.2 million.

The Trust will continue to forward sell small portions of production, up to 24 months into the future, to secure prices for upcoming distributions and capital programs. This strategy has worked successfully in the past to smooth out much of the volatility in natural gas prices caused by periods of excess supply or demand.

Outlook

Current economic conditions remain challenging for natural gas producers. Peyto's strong hedge position and low cost structure give it a competitive advantage over others, allowing for the continued exploration and inventory development during this downturn in the natural gas cycle. Financial flexibility has been enhanced, ensuring that Peyto will be well positioned to respond at the front of the price recovery. A long reserve life means that the majority of the Trust's reserves will benefit from the higher gas prices which are forecast for the future. In the meantime, reduced industry activity levels have provided downward pressure on service costs allowing for Peyto to drill more wells with less capital. As a result of these improved efficiencies, the capital program has been expanded for 2009 to between \$90 and \$120 million.

Unitholders are encouraged to visit the Peyto website at www.peyto.com where there is a wealth of information designed to inform and educate investors.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Darren Gee', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Darren Gee
President and CEO
August 11, 2009

Management's discussion and analysis

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2009 and the audited consolidated financial statements of Peyto Energy Trust (the "Trust" or "Peyto") for the year ended December 31, 2008. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

This discussion provides management's analysis of Peyto's historical financial and operating results and provides estimates of Peyto's future financial and operating performance based on information currently available. Actual results will vary from estimates and the variances may be significant. Readers should be aware that historical results are not necessarily indicative of future performance. This MD&A was prepared using information that is current as of August 11, 2009. Additional information about Peyto, including the most recently filed annual information form is available at www.sedar.com.

Certain information set forth in this MD&A, including management's assessment of the Trust's future plans and operations, contains forward-looking statements. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, some of which are beyond these parties' control, including the impact of general economic conditions, industry conditions, volatility of commodity prices, currency fluctuations, imprecision of reserve estimates, environmental risks, competition from other industry participants, the lack of availability of qualified personnel or management, stock market volatility and ability to access sufficient capital from internal and external sources. Readers are cautioned that the assumptions used in the preparation of such information, although considered reasonable at the time of preparation, may prove to be imprecise and, as such, undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements. Peyto's actual results, performance or achievement could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements and, accordingly, no assurance can be given that any of the events anticipated by the forward-looking statements will transpire or occur, or if any of them do so, what benefits that Peyto will derive there from.

Peyto's foreign ownership level currently stands at approximately 45 percent, below the level that would jeopardize Peyto's status as a mutual fund trust under current or proposed legislation.

All references are to Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated. Natural gas liquids and oil volumes are recorded in barrels of oil (bbl) and are converted to a thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcf) using a ratio of six (6) thousand cubic feet to one (1) barrel of oil (bbl). Natural gas volumes recorded in thousand cubic feet (mcf) are converted to barrels of oil equivalent (boe) using the ratio of six (6) thousand cubic feet to one (1) barrel of oil (bbl).

Federal Government's Trust Tax Legislation

On June 12, 2007, Bill C-52 (the "SIFT Rules") enacted the October 31, 2006 proposal to impose a new tax on distributions from flow-through entities, including publicly traded income trusts. Under the SIFT Rules, existing income trusts will be subject to the new measures commencing in their 2011 taxation year, following a four-year grace period. In simplified terms, under the proposed tax plan, income distributions will first be taxed at the trust level at a special rate estimated to be the Federal Corporate rate and applicable provincial corporate rate. Income distributions to unitholders will then be treated as dividends from a Canadian corporation. Individual unitholders will be eligible for the dividend tax credit. Tax-deferred accounts (RRSPs, RRIFs, TFSAs and Pension Plans) will continue to pay no tax on distributions but will not be eligible to use the dividend tax credit. Non-resident unitholders will be taxed on distributions at the non-resident withholding tax rate for dividends. The net impact on individual Canadian taxable investors is expected to be minimal because they can take advantage of the dividend tax credit. However, as a result of the tax at the trust level, distributions to tax-deferred accounts and non-residents will be reduced.

Recent amendments to the Income Tax Act (Canada) facilitate the conversion of existing income trusts into corporations. In general, the amendments permit alternative transactions which allow a conversion to be tax deferred for both the unitholders and the income trust. Management and the Board of Directors continue to review the impact of the Trust tax on our business strategy and while there has not been a decision as to Peyto's future direction, at this time we are of the opinion that the conversion from a trust into a corporation may be the most logical and tax efficient alternative for unitholders. At the present time, Peyto believes that if structural or other similar changes are not made, the relative after-tax distribution amount in 2011 to taxable Canadian investors will remain approximately the same, however, will decline for both tax-deferred Canadian investors (RRSPs, RRIFs, pension plans, etc.) and foreign investors.

Climate Change Programs

In addition to employing environmentally responsible business operations, Peyto looks to both Alberta provincial authorities and to Canada's federal authorities for direction and regulation regarding environmental and climate change legislation.

In January 2008 the Alberta Government released Alberta's new Climate Change Strategy. The new strategy focuses on implementing carbon capture and storage, conserving and using energy efficiently, and greening energy production. The provincial vision is significant reductions in emissions for both the mid-term (2014) and long term (2050). To date, the only tangible legislation set forth pertains to large emitting facilities (over 100,000 tonnes per year) and excludes any of Peyto current facilities. The goal of that legislation is to reduce emissions by 20 Mt by 2010, by 50 Mt by 2020, and 200 Mt by 2050.

Since the initiation of this strategy, the Alberta government has formulated the Climate Change emissions Management Fund to manage the use of funds collected by large emitters who pay into the fund based on excessive emissions. The fund will invest into initiatives and projects that support technologies to reduce GHG emissions. The Alberta government has also made a commitment of \$2 billion towards carbon capture and storage technology.

The Federal plan called "Turning the Corner" was initiated in 2006. As part of that program, in April 2007 the Regulatory Framework for Air Emissions was presented and it laid out the broad vision for reduction of industrial emissions of both greenhouse gases and air pollutants. This plan calls for greenhouse gas emissions to be reduced by 20 per cent below 2006 levels by 2020. All covered industrial sectors are required to reduce emissions by 18% below 2006 levels by 2010, with a 2% continuous improvement every year after.

On March 10, 2008 the Federal government announced further details of the April 2007 regulatory framework. The March 10 details fixed process emissions, new facility regulations, cleaner fuel standards, carbon capture and storage, and compliance mechanisms (Canada's domestic offset system, Clean Development Mechanism, Credit for Early Action Program, Technology Fund). The emission intensity targets remain the same as previously laid out in the April 2007 framework.

The stated plan for the framework was to translate it into actual regulations. The final regulations were expected to be approved and published in Canada Gazette, Part II in Fall 2009, with greenhouse gas provisions of the regulations coming into force on January 1, 2010. However, it appears the Federal government is now looking for a lead from the new Obama administration in the U.S. before making any commitments to specific regulations.

Most recently, in May, 2009, the Federal government released an advisory report from a Round Table meeting on the environment and the economy. The report recommends a national cap-and-trade system for managing GHG emissions. The report also recommends a single, national system rather than a patchwork of regional or Provincial rules.

Peyto will continue to monitor the progress of the pending Federal regulations to determine what actions will be required and what impacts will occur from those regulations.

OVERVIEW

Peyto is a Canadian energy trust involved in the development and production of natural gas in Alberta's deep basin. As at December 31, 2008, the total Proved plus Probable reserves were 998.3 billion cubic feet equivalent (166.4 million barrels of oil equivalent) with a reserve life of 23 years as evaluated by the independent petroleum engineers. Production is weighted approximately 85% natural gas and 15% natural gas liquids and oil.

The Peyto model is designed to deliver growth in its value, assets, production and income, all on a per unit basis. The model is built around three key principles:

- Use technical expertise to achieve the best return on capital employed, through the development of internally generated drilling projects.
- Maintain a payout ratio designed to efficiently fund a growing inventory of drilling projects .
- Build an asset base which is made up of high quality long life natural gas reserves.

Operating results over the last ten years indicate that these principles have been successfully implemented. This business model makes Peyto a truly unique energy trust.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| (\$000 except per unit amounts) | 2009 | | 2008 | | | 2007 | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 | Q3 |
| Total revenue (net of royalties) | 56,598 | 70,133 | 79,612 | 86,607 | 87,682 | 85,164 | 82,307 | 75,589 |
| Funds from operations | 45,527 | 58,607 | 67,354 | 74,485 | 74,113 | 70,955 | 68,976 | 62,938 |
| Per unit – basic and diluted | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.60 |
| Earnings (loss) | 29,189 | 63,574 | 50,711 | 64,834 | 31,412 | 32,440 | 73,289 | 39,886 |
| Per unit – basic and diluted | 0.28 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.69 | 0.37 |
| Distributions | 39,211 | 41,309 | 47,664 | 47,664 | 46,605 | 44,798 | 44,399 | 44,399 |
| Per unit – diluted | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 |

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Production

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Natural gas (mmcf/d) | 90.2 | 97.8 | 93.1 | 99.6 |
| Oil & natural gas liquids (bbl/d) | 2,950 | 3,226 | 2,986 | 3,328 |
| Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d) | 17,982 | 19,530 | 18,499 | 19,936 |
| Thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcf/d) | 107.9 | 117.2 | 111.0 | 119.6 |

Natural gas production averaged 90.2 mmcf/d in the second quarter of 2009, an 8 percent decrease from the 97.8 mmcf/d reported for the equivalent period in 2008. Oil and natural gas liquids production averaged 2,950 bbl/d, a decrease of 9 percent from 3,226 bbl/d reported a year earlier. Second quarter total production decreased 8 percent from 117.2 mmcf/d to 107.9 mmcf/d. The production decreases are attributable to Peyto's natural resource declines and reduced capital program.

Commodity Prices

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Natural gas (\$/mcf) | 3.99 | 10.46 | 5.09 | 9.17 |
| Hedging – gas (\$/mcf) | 2.15 | (1.14) | 1.84 | (0.27) |
| Natural gas – after hedging (\$/mcf) | 6.14 | 9.32 | 6.93 | 8.90 |
| Oil and natural gas liquids(\$/bbl) | 43.42 | 107.45 | 43.94 | 96.54 |
| Hedging – oil (\$/bbl) | - | - | - | (1.46) |
| Oil and natural gas liquids – after hedging (\$/bbl) | 43.42 | 107.45 | 43.94 | 95.08 |
| Total Hedging (\$/boe) | 10.77 | (5.71) | 9.23 | (1.61) |
| Total Hedging (\$/mcf) | 1.80 | (0.95) | 1.54 | (0.27) |

Peyto's natural gas price, before hedging gains, averaged \$3.99/mcf during the second quarter of 2009, a 62 percent decrease from \$10.46/mcf reported for the equivalent period in 2008. Oil and natural gas liquids prices averaged \$43.42/bbl, a decrease of 60 percent from \$107.45/bbl a year earlier. Hedging activity for the second quarter of 2009 accounted for \$1.80/mcf of Peyto's achieved price.

Revenue

| (\$000) | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Natural gas | 32,730 | 93,134 | 85,801 | 166,325 |
| Oil and natural gas liquids | 11,657 | 31,548 | 23,748 | 58,484 |
| Hedging gain (loss) | 17,629 | (10,139) | 30,890 | (5,838) |
| Total revenue | 62,016 | 114,543 | 140,439 | 218,971 |

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, gross revenue decreased 46 percent to \$62.0 million from \$114.5 million for the equivalent period in 2008. The decrease in revenue for the period was a result of decreased production volumes and lower commodity prices as detailed in the following table:

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | | Six Months ended June 30 | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$million | 2009 | 2008 | \$million |
| Total Revenue, June 30, 2008 | | | 114.5 | | | 219.0 |
| Revenue change due to: | | | | | | |
| Natural gas | | | | | | |
| Volume (mmcf) | 8,207 | 8,902 | (6.4) | 16,847 | 18,135 | (10.5) |
| Price (\$/mcf) | \$6.14 | \$9.32 | (26.1) | \$6.93 | \$8.90 | (33.1) |
| Oil & NGL | | | | | | |
| Volume (mdbl) | 268 | 294 | (2.7) | 540 | 624 | (7.5) |
| Price (\$/bbl) | \$43.42 | \$107.45 | (17.1) | \$43.94 | \$95.08 | (27.5) |
| Total Revenue, June 30, 2009 | | | 62.0 | | | 140.4 |

Royalties

Royalties are paid to the owners of the mineral rights with whom leases are held, including the provincial government of Alberta. Alberta gas crown royalties are invoiced on the Crown's share of production based on a monthly established Alberta Reference Price. The Alberta Reference Price is a monthly weighted average price of gas consumed in Alberta and gas exported from Alberta reduced for transportation and marketing allowances.

| (\$000 except per unit amounts) | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Royalties | 5,417 | 26,861 | 13,707 | 46,125 |
| % of sales before hedging | 12.2 | 21.5 | 12.5 | 20.5 |
| % of sales after hedging | 8.7 | 23.5 | 9.8 | 21.1 |
| \$/boe | 3.31 | 15.11 | 4.09 | 12.71 |
| \$/mcfe | 0.55 | 2.52 | 0.68 | 2.12 |

For the second quarter of 2009, royalties averaged \$0.55/mcfe or approximately 8.7 percent of Peyto's total petroleum and natural gas sales. The Alberta Government's New Royalty Framework ("NRF") was effective January 1, 2009 which resulted in an overall decrease in the Trust's royalties due to the low commodity price environment. This decrease was in line with management's expectations. Under the new royalty framework the crown royalty rate fluctuates with production rates and commodity prices. The royalty rate expressed as a percentage of sales will fluctuate from period to period due to the fact that the Alberta Reference Price can differ significantly from the commodity prices obtained by the Trust and that hedging gains and losses are not subject to royalties. Substantially all of the Trust's production is in Alberta; consequently the NRF, including the Transitional Royalty Plan and the Energy Incentive Program, will have a significant impact on the Trust's royalty rates. In its 10 year history, Peyto has invested over \$1.6 billion in capital projects and has found and developed gas reserves that have paid over \$488 million in royalties.

On March 3, 2009, the Alberta Government announced a "Three Point Incentive Program" to stimulate new and continued economic activity. The program provides for the earning of certain royalty credits and royalty relief for wells drilled during the period April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010. The key aspects of the program are (1) a drilling depth based credit applicable against corporate royalties and (2) a flat 5% royalty rate applicable for a one year period commencing with the on stream date for each new well drilled.

Most recently on June 25, 2009 the Alberta Government modified the "Three Point Incentive Program" in response to the continued lack of industry activity. The drilling depth based credit program was extended by one year from its original expiry date of March 31, 2010 until a new expiry date of March 31, 2011. In addition the one year flat 5% royalty rate benefit was also extended by one year. Originally, only new wells brought on stream after April 1, 2009 but before March 31, 2010 qualified for the one year flat 5% royalty rate. This program was also extended to March 31, 2011 such that new wells brought on stream before that date will qualify for the one year flat 5% royalty rate.

Peyto expects these incentive programs will provide the Trust with the necessary assistance to conduct new drilling projects during this near term period of low gas prices. The Trust continues to evaluate the amendments to the new royalty framework in order to determine the optimal elections that should be made.

Operating Costs & Transportation

The Trust's operating expenses include all costs with respect to day-to-day well and facility operations. Processing and gathering income related to joint venture and third party production reduces operating expenses.

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Operating costs (\$000) | | | | |
| Field expenses | 6,728 | 7,328 | 14,123 | 14,878 |
| Processing and gathering income | (2,531) | (2,748) | (5,366) | (5,333) |
| Total operating costs | 4,197 | 4,580 | 8,757 | 9,545 |
| \$/boe | 2.56 | 2.58 | 2.62 | 2.63 |
| \$/mcf | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Transportation | 1,094 | 1,133 | 2,272 | 2,293 |
| \$/boe | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.63 |
| \$/mcf | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |

Operating costs were \$4.2 million in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$4.6 for the equivalent period in 2008. On a unit-of-production basis, operating costs averaged \$0.43/mcf in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$0.43/mcf for the equivalent period in 2008. Transportation expense increased on a per boe basis due to an increase in pipeline tariffs effective January 1, 2009.

Netbacks

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| (\$/mcf) | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Sale Price | 6.32 | 10.74 | 6.99 | 10.06 |
| Less: Royalties | 0.55 | 2.52 | 0.68 | 2.12 |
| Operating costs | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| Transportation | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Field netback | 5.23 | 7.68 | 5.76 | 7.39 |
| General and administrative | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| Interest on long-term debt | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0.54 |
| Cash netback (\$/mcf) | 4.65 | 6.94 | 5.18 | 6.66 |
| Cash netback (\$/boe) | 27.82 | 41.70 | 31.10 | 39.98 |

Netbacks are a non-GAAP measure that represents the profit margin associated with the production and sale of petroleum and natural gas. Netbacks are per-unit of production measures used to assess the Trust's performance and efficiency. The primary factors that produce Peyto's strong netbacks and high margins are a low cost structure and the high heat content of its natural gas that results in higher commodity prices.

General and Administrative Expenses

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| G&A expenses (\$000) | 2,270 | 2,579 | 5,008 | 5,468 |
| Overhead recoveries | (366) | (661) | (866) | (1,347) |
| Net G&A expenses | 1,904 | 1,918 | 4,142 | 4,121 |
| \$/mcf | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| \$/boe | 1.16 | 1.08 | 1.24 | 1.14 |

General and administrative expenses remained relatively constant.

Interest Expense

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Interest expense (\$000) | 3,876 | 5,938 | 7,426 | 11,819 |
| \$/mcf | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0.54 |
| \$/boe | 2.37 | 3.34 | 2.22 | 3.26 |
| Average interest rate | 3.1% | 5.4% | 3.0% | 5.4% |

Second quarter 2009 interest expense was \$3.9 million or \$0.39/mcf compared to \$5.9 million or \$0.56/mcf for the equivalent period in 2008 due to a reduction in the average interest rate. While interest rates are not expected to increase substantially in the short-term, the stamping fee component of interest expense increased in the second quarter of 2009 with the renewal of the revolving credit facility on April 30, 2009.

As a result of the weakened global economic situation, the Trust may have restricted access to capital. Although the Trust's business and asset base have not changed, the lending capacity of all financial institutions has been diminished and risk premiums have increased.

Depletion, Depreciation and Accretion

The 2009 second quarter provision for depletion, depreciation and accretion totaled \$17.7 million as compared to \$17.8 million for the equivalent period in 2008. On a unit-of-production basis, depletion, depreciation and accretion costs averaged \$1.80/mcf as compared to \$1.67/mcf a year earlier.

Income Taxes

The current recovery for future income tax is \$25.5 million (2008 – provision of \$19.5 million). Peyto's trust structure is unique and was designed to provide for discretion at the operating trust level to distribute taxable income to the Trust. Resource pools are generated from the capital program, which are available to offset current and future income tax liabilities. Unitholders benefit as the Trust may use these resource pools to increase the tax free return of capital component of the cash distributions. As a result of the internal reorganization that took place January 1, 2008, the tax rate applied to differences between the accounting basis and tax basis of the Trust's assets increased by approximately 3% (the difference between future corporate income tax rates and future tax rates applicable to trusts). Changes to the SIFT rules proposed in the 2008 Federal Budget have now been substantively enacted, resulting in the anticipated future income tax recovery.

Canada Revenue Agency ("CRA") has conducted an audit of restructuring costs claimed as a result of the trust conversion in 2003 that has resulted in the reclassification of \$41.0 million dollars in employment related costs as eligible capital. In October, 2008, the Trust has received a notice of reassessment from the CRA and paid an amount of \$7.3 million related to this audit. Based upon consultation with legal counsel, management's view is that CRA's position has no merit. A notice of appeal was filed May 19, 2009 and the appeal has been denied. Examination for discovery is being scheduled.

MARKETING

Commodity Price Risk Management

The Trust is a party to certain off balance sheet derivative financial instruments, including fixed price contracts. The Trust enters into these forward contracts with well established counter-parties for the purpose of protecting a portion of its future revenues from the volatility of oil and natural gas prices. During the second quarter of 2009, a realized hedging gain of \$17.6 million was recorded as compared to a hedging loss of \$10.1 million for the equivalent period in 2008. A summary of contracts outstanding in respect of the hedging activities are as follows:

| Natural Gas Period Hedged | Type | Daily Volume | Price (CAD) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$7.85/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.12/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.95/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$9.30/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$10.20/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$7.50/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$7.65/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$6.90/GJ |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.39/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.35/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$6.20/GJ |
| November 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.91/GJ |
| November 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$9.15/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$5.81/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to October 31, 2010 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$5.20/GJ |
| April 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$6.10/GJ |

As at June 30, 2009, the Trust had committed to the future sale of 15,680,000 gigajoules (GJ) of natural gas at an average price of \$7.38 per GJ. Had these contracts been closed on June 30, 2009, the Trust would have realized a gain in the amount of \$39.2 million.

Commodity Price Sensitivity

Peyto's earnings are largely determined by commodity prices for crude oil and natural gas including the US/Canadian dollar exchange rate. Volatility in these oil and gas prices can cause fluctuations in Peyto's earnings over which the Trust has no control. Low operating costs and a long reserve life reduce Peyto's sensitivity to changes in commodity prices.

Currency Risk Management

The Trust is exposed to fluctuations in the Canadian/US dollar exchange ratio since commodities are effectively priced in US dollars and converted to Canadian dollars. In the short term, this risk is mitigated indirectly as a result of a commodity hedging strategy that is conducted in a Canadian dollar currency. Over the long term, the Canadian dollar tends to rise as commodity prices rise. There is a similar correlation between oil and gas prices. Currently Peyto has not entered into any agreements to further manage its currency risks.

Interest Rate Risk Management

The Trust is exposed to interest rate risk in relation to interest expense on its revolving demand facility. Currently there are no agreements to manage this risk. At June 30, 2009, the increase or decrease in earnings for each 100 bps (1%) change in interest rate paid on the outstanding revolving demand loan amounts to approximately \$4.8 million per annum.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Funds from Operations

"Funds from operations" is a non-GAAP measure which represents cash flows from operating activities before changes in non-cash operating working capital and provision for future performance based compensation. Management considers funds from operations and per unit calculations of funds from operations to be key measures as they demonstrate the Trust's ability to generate the cash necessary to pay distributions, repay debt and make capital investments. Management believes that by excluding the temporary impact of changes in non-cash operating working capital, funds from operations provides a useful measure of the Trust's ability to generate cash that is not subject to short-term movements in operating working capital. The most directly comparable GAAP measure is cash flows from operating activities. Funds from operations is reconciled to cash flows from operating activities below:

| (\$000) | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|--|----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | 50,193 | 66,087 | 102,295 | 118,570 |
| Provision for performance based compensation | (536) | 5,349 | 614 | 8,845 |
| Change in non-cash working capital | (4,130) | 2,677 | 1,226 | 17,653 |
| Funds from operations | 45,527 | 74,113 | 104,135 | 145,068 |
| Funds from operations per unit | 0.43 | 0.70 | 0.98 | 1.37 |

For the second quarter ended June 30, 2009, funds from operations totaled \$45.5 million or \$0.43 per unit, as compared to \$74.1 million, or \$0.70 per unit for the equivalent period in 2008. Peyto's policy is to balance distributions to unitholders and funding for a capital program with cashflow and available bank lines. Earnings and cash flow are highly sensitive to changes in commodity prices, exchange rates and other factors that are beyond Peyto's control. Current volatility in commodity prices creates uncertainty as to the funds from

operations and capital expenditure budget. Accordingly, results are assessed throughout the year and operational plans revised as necessary to reflect the most current information.

Revenues will be impacted by drilling success and production volumes as well as external factors such as the market prices for commodities and the exchange rate of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar.

Bank Debt

The Trust has a syndicated \$550 million extendible revolving credit facility with a stated term date of April 30, 2010. The facility is made up of a \$20 million working capital sub-tranche and a \$530 million production line. The facilities are available on a revolving basis for a period of at least 364 days and upon the term out date may be extended for a further 364 day period at the request of the Trust, subject to approval by the lenders. In the event that the revolving period is not extended, the facility is available on a non-revolving basis for a one year term, at the end of which time the facility would be due and payable. The loan has therefore been classified as long-term on the balance sheet. The average borrowing rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was 3.2% (2008 – 5.4%).

Outstanding amounts on this facility bear interest at rates determined by the Trust's debt to cash flow ratio that range from prime to prime plus 0.75% for debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (EBITDA) ratios ranging from less than 1:1 to greater than 2.5:1. A General Security Agreement with a floating charge on land registered in Alberta is held as collateral by the bank.

At June 30, 2009, \$460 million was drawn under the facility. Working capital liquidity is maintained by drawing from and repaying the unutilized credit facility as needed. At June 30, 2009, the working capital surplus was \$95.6 million (including a non-cash current asset for an unrealized mark to market future hedging gain of \$35.7 million).

Peyto believes funds generated from operations, together with borrowings under the credit facility will be sufficient to finance current operations and the planned capital expenditure program. The total amount of capital invested in 2009 will be driven by the number and quality of projects generated. Capital will only be invested if it meets the long term objectives of the Trust. The majority of the capital program will involve drilling, completion and tie-in of lower risk development gas wells. Peyto's rapidly scaleable business model has the flexibility to match planned capital expenditures to actual cash flow.

Net Debt

"Net debt" is a non-GAAP measure that is the sum of long-term debt and working capital excluding the current financial derivative instruments and current provision for future performance based compensation. It is used by management to analyze the financial position and leverage of the Trust. Net debt is reconciled below to long-term debt which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure:

| (\$000) | As at June 30, 2009 | As at December 31, 2008 | As at June 30, 2008 |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Long-term debt | 460,000 | 500,000 | 450,000 |
| Current liabilities | 31,550 | 64,742 | 150,033 |
| Current assets | (127,132) | (96,817) | (65,477) |
| Financial derivative instruments | 35,709 | 27,788 | (75,793) |
| Provision for future performance based compensation | (614) | - | (4,346) |
| Net debt | 399,513 | 495,713 | 454,417 |

Capital

On June 30, 2009, there were 114,920,194 trust units outstanding (December 31, 2008 – 105,920,194).

Authorized: Unlimited number of voting trust units

Issued and Outstanding

| Trust Units (no par value) (\$000) | Number of Units | Amount |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 105,920,194 | 410,233 |
| Trust units issued | 9,000,000 | 94,500 |
| Trust units issuance costs | - | (5,089) |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | 114,920,194 | 499,644 |

On June 26, 2009, Peyto closed an offering of 9,000,000 trust units at a price of \$10.50 per trust unit, receiving net proceeds of \$89 million.

Performance Based Compensation

The Trust awards performance based compensation to employees and key consultants annually. The performance based compensation is comprised of market and reserve value based components.

The reserve value based component is 4% of the incremental increase in value, if any, as adjusted to reflect changes in debt, equity and distributions, of proved producing reserves calculated using a constant price at December 31 of the current year and a discount rate of 8%. This methodology can generate interim results which vary significantly from the final compensation paid. A recovery for compensation expense of \$1.2 million was recorded for the second quarter of 2009.

Under the market based component, rights with a three year vesting period are allocated to employees and key consultants. The number of rights outstanding at any time is not to exceed 6% of the total number of trust units outstanding. At December 31 of each year, all vested rights are automatically cancelled and, if applicable, paid out in cash. Compensation is calculated as the number of vested rights multiplied by the total of the market appreciation (over the price at the date of grant) and associated distributions of a trust unit for that period.

Based on the five day weighted average trading price of the trust units for the period ended June 30, 2009, compensation costs related to 4.6 million non-vested rights (4% of the total number of trust units outstanding), with an average grant price of \$14.29, are \$0.6 million. The Trust records a non-cash provision for future compensation expense over the life of the rights. The cumulative provision totals \$0.6 million.

Capital Expenditures

Net capital expenditures for the second quarter of 2009 totaled \$4.7 million. Exploration and development related activity represented \$3.2 million or 68% of the total, while expenditures on facilities, gathering systems and equipment totaled \$1.5 million or 32% of the total. The following table summarizes capital expenditures for the quarter.

| (\$000) | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Land | - | 852 | 84 | 852 |
| Seismic | 35 | 393 | 176 | 915 |
| Drilling – Exploratory & Development | 3,138 | 17,020 | 13,670 | 43,908 |
| Production Equipment, Facilities & Pipelines | 1,498 | 3,248 | 3,777 | 8,892 |
| Office Equipment | - | 15 | - | 20 |
| Total Capital Expenditures | 4,671 | 21,528 | 17,707 | 54,589 |

Distributions

| | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Funds from operations (\$000) | 45,527 | 74,113 | 104,135 | 145,068 |
| Total distributions (\$000) | 39,211 | 46,605 | 80,520 | 91,403 |
| Total distributions per unit (\$) | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.76 | 0.86 |
| Payout ratio (%) | 86 | 63 | 77 | 63 |

Peyto's policy is to balance distributions to unitholders and funding for a capital program with cashflow and available bank lines. The Board of Directors is prepared to adjust the payout ratio levels (distributions declared divided by funds from operations) to achieve the desired distributions while maintaining an appropriate capital structure. For Canadian income tax purposes distributions made are considered a combination of income and return of capital. The portion that is return of capital reduces the adjusted cost base of the units.

Sustainability of Distributions

| (\$000) | Three months ended June 30, 2009 | Six months ended June 30, 2009 | Year ended December 31, 2008 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities | 50,193 | 102,295 | 248,121 |
| Earnings for the period | 29,189 | 92,763 | 179,397 |
| Distribution declared | (39,211) | (80,520) | (186,731) |
| Excess of cash flows from operating activities over distributions declared | 10,982 | 21,775 | 61,390 |
| (Shortfall) excess of earnings over distributions declared | (10,022) | 12,243 | (7,334) |

Shortfalls of earnings over distributions paid are a result of non-cash charges such as depletion, depreciation and accretion and future income taxes which also have no immediate impact on distribution sustainability.

Accumulated Earnings and Distributions

| (\$000) | Three Months ended June 30 | | Six Months ended June 30 | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Opening accumulated earnings | 983,009 | 772,478 | 919,435 | 740,038 |
| Earnings for the period | 29,189 | 31,412 | 92,763 | 63,852 |
| Total accumulated earnings | 1,012,198 | 803,890 | 1,012,198 | 803,890 |
| Total accumulated distributions | (889,717) | (713,869) | (889,717) | (713,869) |
| Accumulated earnings per Balance Sheet | 122,481 | 90,021 | 122,481 | 90,021 |

Since inception, Peyto has accumulated earnings of \$1,011 million and distributed \$890 million to unitholders.

Contractual Obligations

The Trust is committed to payments under operating leases for office space as follows:

| (\$000) | June 30, 2009 |
|------------|---------------|
| 2009 | 97 |
| 2010 | 1,036 |
| 2011 | 1,036 |
| 2012 | 1,036 |
| Thereafter | 2,072 |
| | 5,277 |

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

An officer and director of the Trust is a partner of a law firm that provides legal services to the Trust. The fees charged are based on standard rates and time spent on matters pertaining to the Trust and its subsidiaries.

INCOME TAXES

The following sets out a general discussion of the Canadian and US tax consequences of holding Peyto units as capital property. The summary is not exhaustive in nature and is not intended to provide legal or tax advice. Unitholders or potential Unitholders should consult their own legal or tax advisors as to their particular tax consequences.

Canadian Taxpayers

The Trust qualifies as a mutual fund trust under the *Income Tax Act* (Canada) and, accordingly, Trust units are qualified investments for RRSPs, RRIFs, RESPs, TFSA and DPSPs. Each year, the Trust is required to file an income tax return and any taxable income of the Trust is allocated to unitholders.

Unitholders are required to include in computing income their pro-rata share of any taxable income earned by the Trust in that year. An investor's adjusted cost base (ACB) in a trust unit equals the purchase price of the unit less any non-taxable cash distributions received from the date of acquisition. To the extent the unitholders' ACB is reduced below zero, such amount will be deemed to be a capital gain to the unitholder and the unitholders' ACB will be brought to nil.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Trust paid distributions to the unitholders in the amount of \$39.2 million (2008 - \$46.6 million) in accordance with the following schedule:

| Production Period | Record Date | Distribution Date | Per Unit ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| January 2009 | January 31, 2009 | February 13, 2009 | \$0.15 |
| February 2009 | February 28, 2009 | March 13, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| March 2009 | March 31, 2009 | April 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| April 2009 | April 30, 2009 | May 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| May 2009 | May 31, 2009 | June 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| June 2009 | June 30, 2009 | July 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |

⁽¹⁾ Distributions per trust unit reflect the sum of the per trust unit amounts declared monthly to unitholders.

US Taxpayers

US unitholders who receive cash distributions are subject to a 15% Canadian withholding tax, applied to the taxable portion of the distributions as computed under Canadian tax law. US taxpayers may be eligible for a foreign tax credit with respect to Canadian withholding taxes paid.

The taxable portion of the cash distributions, if any, is determined by the Trust in relation to its current and accumulated earnings and profit using US tax principles. The taxable portion so determined, is considered to be a dividend for US tax purposes.

The non-taxable portion of the cash distributions is a return of the cost (or other basis). The cost (or other basis) is reduced by this amount for computing any gain or loss from disposition. However, if the full amount of the cost (or other basis) has been recovered, any further non-taxable distributions should be reported as a gain.

United States Proposed Changes to Qualifying Dividends

A bill was introduced into United States Congress on March 23, 2007 that could deny qualified dividend income treatment to the distributions made by the Trust to its U.S. unitholders. The bill is in the first step of the legislative process and it is uncertain whether it will eventually be passed into law in its current form. If the bill is passed in its current form, distributions received by U.S. unitholders would no longer qualify for the 15 per cent qualified dividend tax rate.

US unitholders are advised to seek legal or tax advice from their professional advisors.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Investors who purchase units are participating in the net funds from operations from a portfolio of western Canadian crude oil and natural gas producing properties. As such, the funds from operations paid to investors and the value of the units are subject to numerous risks inherent in the oil and natural gas industry.

Expected funds from operations depends largely on the volume of petroleum and natural gas production and the price received for such production, along with the associated costs. The price received for oil depends on a number of factors, including West Texas Intermediate oil prices, Canadian/US currency exchange rates, quality differentials and Edmonton par oil prices. The price received for natural gas production is primarily dependent on current Alberta market prices. Peyto's marketing strategy is designed to smooth out short term fluctuations in the price of natural gas through future sales. It is meant to be methodical and consistent and to avoid speculation.

Although Peyto's focus is on internally generated drilling programs, any acquisition of oil and natural gas assets depends on an assessment of value at the time of acquisition. Incorrect assessments of value can adversely affect distributions to unitholders and the value of the units. Peyto employs experienced staff and performs appropriate levels of due diligence on the analysis of acquisition targets, including a detailed examination of reserve reports; if appropriate, re-engineering of reserves for a large portion of the properties to ensure the results are consistent; site examinations of facilities for environmental liabilities; detailed examination of balance sheet accounts; review of contracts; review of prior year tax returns and modeling of the acquisition to attempt to ensure accretive results to the unitholders.

Inherent in development of the existing oil and gas reserves are the risks, among others, of drilling dry holes, encountering production or drilling difficulties or experiencing high decline rates in producing wells. To minimize these risks, Peyto employs experienced staff to evaluate and operate wells and utilize appropriate technology in operations. In addition, prudent work practices and procedures, safety programs and risk management principles, including insurance coverage protect the Trust against certain potential losses.

The value of Peyto's units is based on among other things, the underlying value of the oil and natural gas reserves. Geological and operational risks can affect the quantity and quality of reserves and the cost of ultimately recovering those reserves. Lower oil and gas prices increase the risk of write-downs on oil and gas property investments. In order to mitigate this risk, proven and probable oil and gas reserves are evaluated each year by a firm of independent reservoir engineers. The reserves committee of the Board of Directors reviews and approves the reserve report.

Access to markets may be restricted at times by pipeline or processing capacity. These risks are minimized by controlling as much of the processing and transportation activities as possible and ensuring transportation and processing contracts are in place with reliable cost efficient counter-parties.

The petroleum and natural gas industry is subject to extensive controls, regulatory policies and income and resource taxes imposed by various levels of government. These regulations, controls and taxation policies are amended from time to time. Peyto has no control over the level of government intervention or taxation in the petroleum and natural gas industry. The Trust operates in such a manner to ensure, to the best of its knowledge that it is in compliance with all applicable regulations and are able to respond to changes as they occur.

The petroleum and natural gas industry is subject to both environmental regulations and an increased environmental awareness. Peyto has reviewed its environmental risks and is, to the best of its knowledge, in compliance with the appropriate environmental legislation and have determined that there is no current material impact on operations.

Peyto is subject to financial market risk. In order to maintain substantial rates of growth, the Trust must continue reinvesting in, drilling for or acquiring petroleum and natural gas. The capital expenditure program is funded primarily through funds from operations, debt and, if appropriate, equity.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Trust's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have designed, or caused to be designed under their supervision, disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that: (i) material information relating to the Trust is made known to the Trust's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer by others, particularly during the period in which the annual and interim filings are being prepared; and (ii) information required to be disclosed by the Trust in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted by it under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in securities legislation. Such officers have evaluated, or caused to be evaluated under their supervision, the effectiveness of the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures at the interim period end of the Trust and have concluded that the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the financial period end of the Trust for the foregoing purposes.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Trust's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have designed, or caused to be designed under their supervision, internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Trust's financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the Canadian GAAP. Such officers have evaluated, or caused to be evaluated under their supervision, the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control over financial reporting at the financial period end of the Trust and concluded that the Trust's internal control over financial reporting is effective, at the financial period end of the Trust, for the foregoing purpose.

The Trust is required to disclose herein any change in the Trust's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Trust's internal control over financial reporting. No material changes in the Trust's internal control over financial reporting were identified during such period that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Trust's internal control over financial reporting.

It should be noted that a control system, including the Trust's disclosure and internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met and it should not be expected that the disclosure and internal controls and procedures will prevent all errors or fraud.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Reserve Estimates

Estimates of oil and natural gas reserves, by necessity, are projections based on geologic and engineering data, and there are uncertainties inherent to the interpretation of such data as well as the projection of future rates of production and the timing of development expenditures. Reserve engineering is an analytical process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and natural gas that can be difficult to measure. The accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data, engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and natural gas reserves and future net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, such as historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas, the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies and assumptions governing future oil and natural gas prices, future royalties and operating costs, development costs and workover and remedial costs, all of which may in fact vary considerably from actual results. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of oil and natural gas attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of such reserves based on risk recovery, and estimates of the future net cash flows expected there from may vary substantially. Any significant variance in the assumptions could materially affect the estimated quantity and value of the reserves, which could affect the carrying value of the Trust's oil and natural gas properties and the rate of depletion of the oil and natural gas properties as well as the calculation of the reserve value based compensation. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to the Trust's reserves will likely vary from estimates, and such variances may be material.

The Trust's estimated quantities of proved and probable reserves at December 31, 2008 were audited by independent petroleum engineers Paddock Lindstrom & Associates Ltd. Paddock has been evaluating reserves in this area and for Peyto for 10 consecutive years.

Depletion and Depreciation Estimate

The full cost method of accounting for petroleum and natural gas operations is followed whereby all costs of exploring for and developing petroleum and natural gas reserves are capitalized. Such costs include land acquisition costs, geological and geophysical costs, carrying charges on non-producing properties, costs of drilling both productive and non-productive wells and overhead charges directly related to acquisition, exploration and development activities.

All costs of exploring for and developing petroleum and natural gas reserves, together with the costs of production equipment, are depleted and depreciated on the unit-of-production method based on estimated gross proven reserves. Petroleum and natural gas reserves and production are converted into equivalent units based upon estimated relative energy content (6 mcf to 1 barrel of oil).

Costs of acquiring unproved properties are initially excluded from depletion calculations. These unevaluated properties are assessed periodically to ascertain whether impairment has occurred. When proven reserves are assigned or the property is considered to be impaired, the cost of the property or the amount of the impairment is added to costs subject to depletion calculations.

Full Cost Accounting Ceiling Test

The carrying value of property, plant and equipment is reviewed at least annually for impairment. Impairment occurs when the carrying value of the assets is not recoverable by the future undiscounted cash flows. The ceiling test is based on estimates of proved reserves, production rates, estimated future petroleum and natural gas prices and costs and other relevant assumptions. By their nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and the impact on the financial statements could be material. Any impairment would be charged as additional depletion and depreciation expense.

Asset Retirement Obligation

The asset retirement obligation is estimated based on existing laws, contracts or other policies. The fair value of the obligation is based on estimated future costs for abandonment and reclamation discounted at a credit adjusted risk free rate. The liability is adjusted each reporting period to reflect the passage of time and for revisions to the estimated future cash flows, with the accretion charged to earnings. By their nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and the impact on the financial statements could be material.

Future Market Performance Based Compensation

The provision for future market based compensation is estimated based on current market conditions, distribution history and on the assumption that all outstanding rights will be paid out according to the vesting

schedule. The conditions at the time of vesting could vary significantly from the current conditions and may have a material effect on the calculation.

Reserve Value Performance Based Compensation

The reserve value based compensation is calculated using the year end independent reserves evaluation which was completed in January 2008. A quarterly provision for the reserve value based compensation is calculated using estimated proved producing reserve additions adjusted for changes in debt, equity and distributions. Actual proved producing reserves additions and forecasted commodity prices could vary significantly from those estimated and may have a material effect on the calculation.

Income Taxes

The determination of the Trust's income and other tax liabilities requires interpretation of complex laws and regulations often involving multiple jurisdictions. All tax filings are subject to audit and potential reassessment after the lapse of considerable time. Accordingly, the actual income tax liability may differ significantly from that estimated and recorded.

Accounting Changes

Voluntary changes in accounting policy are made only if they result in financial statements which provide more reliable and relevant information. Accounting policy changes are applied retrospectively unless it is impractical to determine the period or cumulative impact of the change. Corrections of prior period errors are applied retrospectively and changes in accounting estimates are applied prospectively by including these changes in earnings. When the Trust has not applied a new primary source of GAAP that has been issued, but is not effective, the Trust will disclose the fact along with information relevant to assessing the possible impact that application of the new primary source of GAAP will have on the financial statements in the period of initial application.

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Adoption of IFRS

In January 2006, the CICA Accounting Standards Board ("ASCB") adopted a strategic plan for the direction of accounting standards in Canada. As part of that plan, accounting standards in Canada for public companies are expected to converge with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") by 2011. On February 13, 2008, The ASCB confirmed that the use of IFRS will be required in 2011 for publicly accountable profit-orientated enterprises. The Trust continues to assess the impact of the convergence of Canadian GAAP and IFRS. At this time, the Trust has appointed internal staff along with sponsorship from the senior leadership team to review the impact of converting to IFRS on the accounting policies, information and computer systems, internal and disclosure controls, financial reporting in addition to the changes in the Trust's financial statements.

As of the current date, the Trust is not able to measure any of the impacts assessed. As a result, preliminary assessments will need to be further analyzed and evaluated through the implementation phase of the Trust's conversion project. At this time, the impact on the Trust's financial position and results of operations is not reasonably determinable or estimable for any IFRS conversion impacts identified to date.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

On January 1, 2009, the Trust retrospectively adopted the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Section 3064, Goodwill and Intangible Assets issued by the AcSB. This section clarifies the criteria for the recognition of assets, intangible assets and internally developed intangible assets. Adoption of this standard did not have an impact the Trust's results of operations or financial position.

Credit Risk and the Fair Value of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

Effective January 1, 2009, the Trust adopted the CICA Emerging Issues Committee (EIC) Abstract No.173, Credit Risk and the Fair Value of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (EIC 173). EIC 173 clarifies how an entity's own credit risk and that of the relevant counterparty should be taken into account in determining the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including derivative instruments. The new guidance did not have any impact on the financial position or earnings of the Trust.

Business Combinations

On January 1, 2009, the Trust prospectively adopted CICA Section 1582, Business Combinations issued by the AcSB. This section establishes principles and requirements of the acquisition method for business combinations and related disclosures. Adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the Trust's results of operations or financial position.

Consolidated Financial Statements and Non-Controlling Interests

On January 1, 2009, the Trust adopted CICA Sections 1601, Consolidated Financial Statements, and 1602, Non-Controlling Interests issued by the AcSB. Section 1601 establishes standards for the preparation of consolidated financial statements. Section 1602 provides guidance on accounting for non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements subsequent to a business combination. Adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the Trust's results of operations or financial position.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to Peyto Energy Trust can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and www.peyto.com.

Quarterly information

| | 2009 | | | 2008 | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Q2 | Q1 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 |
| Operations | | | | | |
| Production | | | | | |
| Natural gas (mcf/d) | 90,191 | 95,998 | 101,907 | 100,324 | 97,819 |
| Oil & NGLs (bbl/d) | 2,950 | 3,022 | 3,207 | 3,199 | 3,226 |
| Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d @ 6:1) | 17,982 | 19,021 | 20,191 | 19,920 | 19,530 |
| Thousand cubic feet equivalent (mcf/d @ 6:1) | 107,892 | 114,128 | 121,146 | 119,520 | 117,177 |
| Average product prices | | | | | |
| Natural gas (\$/mcf) | 6.14 | 7.68 | 7.99 | 8.81 | 9.32 |
| Oil & natural gas liquids (\$/bbl) | 43.42 | 44.46 | 49.16 | 99.28 | 107.45 |
| \$/MCFE | | | | | |
| Average sale price (\$/mcf) | 6.32 | 7.63 | 8.02 | 10.05 | 10.75 |
| Average royalties paid (\$/mcf) | 0.55 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 2.18 | 2.52 |
| Average operating expenses (\$/mcf) | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.43 |
| Average transportation costs (\$/mcf) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.11 |
| Field netback (\$/mcf) | 5.23 | 6.27 | 6.61 | 7.35 | 7.69 |
| General & administrative expense (\$/mcf) | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.18 |
| Interest expense (\$/mcf) | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.56 |
| Cash netback (\$/mcf) | 4.65 | 5.70 | 6.05 | 6.77 | 6.95 |
| Financial (\$000 except per unit) | | | | | |
| Revenue | 62,016 | 78,423 | 89,377 | 110,537 | 114,543 |
| Royalties | 5,417 | 8,290 | 9,765 | 23,930 | 26,861 |
| Funds from operations | 45,527 | 58,607 | 67,354 | 74,485 | 74,113 |
| Funds from operations per unit | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Total distributions | 39,211 | 41,309 | 47,664 | 47,664 | 46,605 |
| Total distributions per unit | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.44 |
| Payout ratio | 86% | 70% | 71% | 64% | 63% |
| Earnings | 29,189 | 63,574 | 50,711 | 64,834 | 31,412 |
| Earnings per diluted unit | 0.28 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 0.30 |
| Capital expenditures | 4,671 | 13,036 | 22,467 | 62,271 | 21,528 |
| Weighted average trust units outstanding | 106,315,798 | 105,920,194 | 105,920,194 | 105,920,194 | 105,920,194 |

Peyto Energy Trust

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(\$000)

(unaudited)

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current | | |
| Cash | 41,564 | - |
| Accounts receivable <i>(Note 3 and 10)</i> | 44,050 | 65,662 |
| Financial derivative instruments <i>(Note 10)</i> | 35,709 | 27,788 |
| Prepaid expenses and deposits | 5,809 | 3,367 |
| | 127,132 | 96,817 |
| Financial derivative instruments <i>(Note 10)</i> | 3,474 | 2,458 |
| Prepaid capital | 2,188 | 3,069 |
| Property, plant and equipment <i>(Note 4)</i> | 1,159,762 | 1,177,902 |
| | 1,292,556 | 1,280,246 |
| Liabilities and Unitholders' Equity | | |
| Current | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 17,146 | 48,854 |
| Distributions payable | 13,790 | 15,888 |
| Provision for future performance based compensation | 614 | - |
| | 31,550 | 64,742 |
| Long-term debt <i>(Note 5)</i> | 460,000 | 500,000 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 9,928 | 9,479 |
| Future income taxes | 130,075 | 155,308 |
| | 600,003 | 664,787 |
| Unitholders' equity | | |
| Unitholders' capital <i>(Note 6)</i> | 499,644 | 410,233 |
| Accumulated earnings <i>(Note 7)</i> | 122,481 | 110,238 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 38,878 | 30,246 |
| | 661,003 | 550,717 |
| | 1,292,556 | 1,280,246 |

See accompanying notes

On behalf of the Board:



(signed) "Michael MacBean"
Director



(signed) "Darren Gee"
Director

Peyto Energy Trust

Consolidated Statements of Earnings

(\$000 except per unit amounts)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Oil and gas sales | 44,386 | 124,682 | 109,549 | 224,809 |
| Realized gain (loss) on hedges | 17,629 | (10,139) | 30,890 | (5,838) |
| Royalties | (5,417) | (26,861) | (13,707) | (46,125) |
| Petroleum and natural gas sales, net | 56,598 | 87,682 | 126,732 | 172,846 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Operating (Note 8) | 4,197 | 4,580 | 8,757 | 9,545 |
| Transportation | 1,094 | 1,133 | 2,272 | 2,293 |
| General and administrative (Note 9) | 1,904 | 1,918 | 4,142 | 4,121 |
| Future performance based compensation provision | (536) | 5,349 | 614 | 8,845 |
| Interest on long term debt | 3,876 | 5,938 | 7,426 | 11,819 |
| Depletion, depreciation and accretion (Note 4) | 17,718 | 17,842 | 36,295 | 37,128 |
| | 28,253 | 36,760 | 59,506 | 73,751 |
| Earnings before taxes | 28,345 | 50,922 | 67,226 | 99,095 |
| Taxes | | | | |
| Future income tax (recovery) expense | (844) | 19,510 | (25,537) | 35,243 |
| Earnings for the period | 29,189 | 31,412 | 92,763 | 63,852 |
| Earnings per unit (Note 6) | | | | |
| Basic and diluted | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.87 | 0.60 |

See accompanying notes

Peyto Energy Trust

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(\$000 except per unit amounts)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Earnings for the period | 29,189 | 31,412 | 92,763 | 63,852 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges | 19,022 | (52,534) | 39,522 | (91,289) |
| Realized (gain) loss on cash flow hedges | (17,629) | 10,150 | (30,890) | 5,838 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 30,582 | (10,972) | 101,395 | (21,599) |

See accompanying notes

Peyto Energy Trust

**Consolidated Statements of Accumulated Earnings and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
(Loss)
(\$000)**

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Accumulated earnings, beginning of period | 132,503 | 105,214 | 110,238 | 117,572 |
| Net earnings for the period | 29,189 | 31,412 | 92,763 | 63,852 |
| Distributions (<i>Note 7</i>) | (39,211) | (46,605) | (80,520) | (91,403) |
| Accumulated earnings, end of period | 122,481 | 90,021 | 122,481 | 90,021 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), beginning of period | 37,485 | (37,948) | 30,246 | 5,119 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,393 | (42,384) | 8,632 | (85,451) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), end of period | 38,878 | (80,332) | 38,878 | (80,332) |

See accompanying notes

Peyto Energy Trust**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**
(\$000)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash provided by (used in) | | | | |
| Operating Activities | | | | |
| Earnings for the period | 29,189 | 31,412 | 92,763 | 63,852 |
| Items not requiring cash: | | | | |
| Future income tax expense | (844) | 19,510 | (25,537) | 35,243 |
| Depletion, depreciation and accretion | 17,718 | 17,842 | 36,295 | 37,128 |
| Change in non-cash working capital related to operating activities | 4,130 | (2,677) | (1,226) | (17,653) |
| | 50,193 | 66,087 | 102,295 | 118,570 |
| Financing Activities | | | | |
| Issuance of trust units | 94,500 | - | 94,500 | 3,932 |
| Issuance costs | (5,089) | - | (5,089) | - |
| Distributions declared (<i>Note 7</i>) | (39,211) | (46,605) | (80,520) | (91,403) |
| Increase (decrease) in bank debt | (50,000) | 10,000 | (40,000) | 20,000 |
| Change in non-cash working capital related to financing activities | 1,081 | 1,059 | (2,097) | 1,088 |
| | 1,281 | (35,546) | (33,206) | (66,383) |
| Investing Activities | | | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (4,671) | (21,520) | (17,707) | (54,587) |
| Change in non-cash working capital related to investing activities | (5,239) | (5,759) | (9,818) | (12,421) |
| | (9,910) | (27,279) | (27,525) | (67,008) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 41,564 | 3,262 | 41,564 | (14,821) |
| Cash, beginning of period | - | 2,464 | - | 20,547 |
| Cash, end of period | 41,564 | 5,726 | 41,564 | 5,726 |

See accompanying notes

Peyto Energy Trust

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)
June 30, 2009 and 2008

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Peyto Energy Trust (the "Trust" or "Peyto") follow the same accounting policies as the most recent annual audited consolidated financial statements. The interim consolidated financial statement note disclosures do not include all of those required by Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") applicable for annual financial statements. Accordingly, these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the 2008 audited consolidated financial statements.

These financial statements include the accounts of Peyto Energy Trust and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Peyto Exploration & Development Corp., Peyto Operating Trust, Peyto Energy Limited Partnership and Peyto Energy Administration Corp.

2. Changes in Accounting Policies

Current Year Accounting Changes

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

On January 1, 2009, the Trust retrospectively adopted the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Section 3064, Goodwill and Intangible Assets issued by the Accounting Standards Board ("AcSB"). This section clarifies the criteria for the recognition of assets, intangible assets and internally developed intangible assets. Adoption of this standard did not have an impact the Trust's results of operations or financial position.

Credit Risk and the Fair Value of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

Effective January 1, 2009, the Trust adopted the CICA Emerging Issues Committee (EIC) Abstract No.173, Credit Risk and the Fair Value of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (EIC 173). EIC 173 clarifies how an entity's own credit risk and that of the relevant counterparty should be taken into account in determining the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including derivative instruments. The new guidance did not have any impact on the financial position or earnings of the Trust.

Business Combinations

On January 1, 2009, the Trust prospectively adopted CICA Section 1582, Business Combinations issued by the AcSB. This section establishes principles and requirements of the acquisition method for business combinations and related disclosures. Adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the Trust's results of operations or financial position.

Consolidated Financial Statements and Non-Controlling Interests

On January 1, 2009, the Trust adopted CICA Sections 1601, Consolidated Financial Statements, and 1602, Non-Controlling Interests issued by the AcSB. Section 1601 establishes standards for the preparation of consolidated financial statements. Section 1602 provides guidance on accounting for non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements subsequent to a business combination. Adoption of this statement did not have an impact on the Trust's results of operations or financial position.

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

Financial Instruments – Disclosures

In May 2009, the CICA amended Section 3862, Financial Instruments – Disclosures, to include additional disclosure requirements about fair value measurement for financial instruments and liquidity risk disclosures. These amendments require a three level hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in making the fair value measurements. Fair values of assets and liabilities included in Level 1 are determined by reference to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and

liabilities. Assets and liabilities in Level 2 include valuations using inputs other than quoted prices for which all significant outputs are observable, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 valuations are based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These amendments are effective for the Trust on December 31, 2009.

3. Accounts Receivable

| (\$000) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Accounts receivable – general | 36,782 | 58,394 |
| Accounts receivable – income taxes | 7,268 | 7,268 |
| | 44,050 | 65,662 |

Canada Revenue Agency (“CRA”) has conducted an audit of restructuring costs claimed as a result of the Trust conversion in 2003 that has resulted in the reclassification of \$41.0 million dollars in employment related costs as eligible capital. In October, 2008, the Trust received a notice of reassessment from the CRA and paid an amount of \$7.3 million related to this audit. Based upon consultation with legal counsel, Management’s view is that CRA’s position has no merit. A notice of appeal was filed May 19, 2009 and the appeal has been denied. Examination for discovery is being scheduled.

4. Property, Plant and Equipment

| (\$000) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Property, plant and equipment | 1,569,616 | 1,551,789 |
| Accumulated depletion and depreciation | (409,854) | (373,887) |
| | 1,159,762 | 1,177,902 |

At June 30, 2009 costs of \$40.3 million (December 31, 2008 - \$36.8 million) related to undeveloped land have been excluded from the depletion and depreciation calculation.

5. Long-Term Debt

The Trust has a syndicated \$550 million extendible revolving credit facility with a stated term date of April 30, 2010. The facility is made up of a \$20 million working capital sub-tranche and a \$530 million production line. The facilities are available on a revolving basis for a period of at least 364 days and upon the term out date may be extended for a further 364 day period at the request of the Trust, subject to approval by the lenders. In the event that the revolving period is not extended, the facility is available on a non-revolving basis for a further one year term, at the end of which time the facility would be due and payable. Outstanding amounts on this facility bear interest at rates determined by the Trust’s debt to cash flow ratio that range from prime to prime plus 0.75% for debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (EBITDA) ratios ranging from less than 1:1 to greater than 2.5:1. A General Security Agreement with a floating charge on land registered in Alberta is held as collateral by the bank. The average borrowing rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was 3.1% and 3.0% respectively (2008 – 5.4% and 5.4% respectively).

6. Unitholders’ Capital

Authorized: Unlimited number of voting trust units

Issued and Outstanding

| Trust Units (no par value) (\$000) | Number of Units | Amount |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 105,920,194 | 410,233 |
| Trust units issued | 9,000,000 | 94,500 |
| Trust unit issuance costs | - | (5,089) |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | 114,920,194 | 499,644 |

On June 26, 2009, Peyto closed an offering of 9,000,000 trust units at a price of \$10.50 per trust unit, receiving net proceeds of \$89 million.

Per Unit Amounts

Earnings per unit have been calculated based upon the weighted average number of units outstanding for three months ended June 30, 2009 of 106,315,798 (2008 - 105,920,194) and for the six months ended June 30, 2009 of 106,119,089 (2008 - 105,876,470). There are no dilutive instruments outstanding.

7. Accumulated Distributions

The Trust declared total distributions to the unitholders in the aggregate amount of \$39.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009 (2008 – total \$46.6 million) and \$80.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 (2008 - total \$91.4 million) in accordance with the following schedule:

| Production Period | Record Date | Distribution Date | Per Unit ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| January 2009 | January 31, 2009 | February 13, 2009 | \$0.15 |
| February 2009 | February 28, 2009 | March 13, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| March 2009 | March 31, 2009 | April 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| April 2009 | April 30, 2009 | May 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| May 2009 | May 31, 2009 | June 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |
| June 2009 | June 30, 2009 | July 15, 2009 | \$0.12 |

⁽¹⁾ Distributions per trust unit reflect the sum of the per trust unit amounts declared monthly to unitholders.

Accumulated Earnings and Distributions

| (\$000) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Accumulated earnings, beginning of period | 919,435 | 740,038 |
| Earnings for the period | 92,763 | 179,397 |
| Total accumulated earnings | 1,012,198 | 919,435 |
| Total accumulated distributions | (889,717) | (809,197) |
| Accumulated earnings, end of period | 122,481 | 110,238 |

8. Operating Expenses

The Trust's operating expenses include all costs with respect to day-to-day well and facility operations. Processing and gathering income related to joint venture and third party natural gas reduces operating expenses.

| (\$000) | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Field expenses | 6,728 | 7,328 | 14,096 | 14,878 |
| Processing and gathering income | (2,531) | (2,748) | (5,339) | (5,333) |
| Total operating costs | 4,197 | 4,580 | 8,757 | 9,545 |

9. General and Administrative Expenses (G & A)

General and administrative expenses are reduced by operating and capital overhead recoveries on operated properties.

| (\$000) | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| General and administrative expenses | 2,270 | 2,579 | 5,008 | 5,468 |
| Overhead recoveries | (366) | (661) | (866) | (1,347) |
| Net general and administrative expenses | 1,904 | 1,918 | 4,142 | 4,121 |

10. Financial Instruments and Risk Management

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk that changes in market prices will affect the Trust's net earnings or the value of its financial instruments. Market risk is comprised of commodity price risk and interest rate risk. The objective of market risk management is to manage and control its exposures within acceptable limits, while maximizing returns. The Trust's objectives, processes and policies for managing market risks have not changed from the previous year.

Commodity Price Risk Management

The Trust is a party to certain derivative financial instruments, including fixed price contracts. The Trust enters into these contracts with well established counterparties for the purpose of protecting a portion of its future earnings and cash flows from operations from the volatility of commodity prices. The Trust believes the derivative financial instruments are effective as hedges, both at inception and over the term of the instrument, as the term and notional amount do not exceed the Trust's firm commitment or forecasted transaction and the underlying basis of the instrument correlates highly with the Trust's exposure. A summary of contracts outstanding in respect of the hedging activities at June 30, 2009 are as follows:

| Natural Gas Period Hedged | Type | Daily Volume | Price (CAD) |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$7.85/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.12/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.95/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$9.30/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$10.20/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$7.50/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$7.65/GJ |
| April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$6.90/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.39/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.35/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$6.20/GJ |
| November 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$8.91/GJ |
| November 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed Price | 5,000 GJ | \$9.15/GJ |
| November 1, 2009 to March 31, 2011 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$5.81/GJ |
| April 1, 2010 to October 31, 2010 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$6.10/GJ |

As at June 30, 2009, the Trust had committed to the future sale of 15,680,000 gigajoules (GJ) of natural gas at an average price of \$7.38 per GJ. Had these contracts been closed on June 30, 2009, the Trust would have realized a gain in the amount of \$39.2 million. If the AECO gas price on June 30, 2009 were to increase by \$1/GJ, the unrealized gain on these closed contracts would change by approximately \$15.7 million. An opposite change in commodity prices rates will result in an opposite impact on earnings which would have been reflected in the other comprehensive income of the Trust.

Subsequent to June 30, 2009 the Trust entered into the following contract:

| Natural Gas Period Hedged | Type | Daily Volume | Price (CAD) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| November 1, 2009 to October 31, 2010 | Fixed price | 5,000 GJ | \$5.20/GJ |

Interest rate risk

The Trust is exposed to interest rate risk in relation to interest expense on its revolving credit facility. Currently, the Trust has not entered into any agreements to manage this risk. If interest rates applicable to floating rate debt were to have increased by 100 bps (1%) it is estimated that the Trust's earnings for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 would decrease by \$1.3 million and \$2.5 million respectively. An opposite change in interest rates will result in an opposite impact on earnings.

Fair Values of Financial Assets and Liabilities

The Trust's financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, financial derivative instruments, current liabilities (excluding future income tax), provision for future performance based compensation and long term debt. At June 30, 2009, the carrying value of cash, accounts receivable, financial derivative instruments, current liabilities and provision for future performance based compensation approximate their fair value. The carrying value of the long term debt approximates its fair value due to the floating rate of interest charged under the credit facility.

Credit Risk

A substantial portion of the Trust's accounts receivable is with petroleum and natural gas marketing entities.

Industry standard dictates that commodity sales are settled on the 25th day of the month following the month of production. The Trust generally extends unsecured credit to these companies, and therefore, the collection of accounts receivable may be affected by changes in economic or other conditions and may accordingly impact the Trust's overall credit risk. Management believes the risk is mitigated by the size, reputation and diversified nature of the companies to which they extend credit. The Trust has not previously experienced any material credit losses on the collection of accounts receivable. Of the Trust's significant individual accounts receivable at June 30, 2009, approximately 29% was due from four companies (December 31, 2008 – 43%, three companies). Of the Trust's revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009, approximately 89% was received from four companies (June 30, 2008 – 83%, three companies). The maximum exposure to credit risk is represented by the carrying amount on the balance sheet. There are no material financial assets that the Trust considers past due and no accounts have been written off.

The Trust may be exposed to certain losses in the event of non-performance by counterparties to commodity price contracts. The Trust mitigates this risk by entering into transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings, in accordance with policy as established by the Board of Directors. Counterparties for derivative instrument transactions are limited to financial institutions which are all members of our syndicated credit facility.

The Trust assesses quarterly if there should be any impairment of financial assets. At June 30, 2009, there was no impairment of any of the financial assets of the Trust.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk includes the risk that, as a result of operational liquidity requirements:

- The Trust will not have sufficient funds to settle a transaction on the due date;
- The Trust will be forced to sell financial assets at a value which is less than what they are worth; or
- The Trust may be unable to settle or recover a financial asset at all.

The Trust's operating cash requirements, including amounts projected to complete our existing capital expenditure program, are continuously monitored and adjusted as input variables change. These variables include, but are not limited to, available bank lines, oil and natural gas production from existing wells, results from new wells drilled, commodity prices, cost overruns on capital projects and changes to government regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, allowable production

and availability of markets. As these variables change, liquidity risks may necessitate the need for the Trust to conduct equity issues or obtain project debt financing.

The following are the contractual maturities of financial liabilities as at June 30, 2009:

| (\$000s) | <1 Year | 1-2 Years | 2-5 Years | Thereafter |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 17,146 | | | |
| Distributions payable | 13,790 | | | |
| Provision for future performance based compensation | 614 | | | |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | | 460,000 | | |

⁽¹⁾Revolving credit facility renewed annually (see Note 5)

11. Capital Disclosures

The Trust's objectives when managing capital are: (i) to maintain a flexible capital structure, which optimizes the cost of capital at acceptable risk; and (ii) to maintain investor, creditor and market confidence to sustain the future development of the business.

The Trust manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of our underlying assets. The Trust considers its capital structure to include unitholders' equity, debt and working capital. To maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Trust may from time to time, issue trust units, raise debt and/or adjust its capital spending to manage its current and projected debt levels. The Trust monitors capital based on the following non-GAAP measures: current and projected debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization ("EBITDA") ratios, payout ratios and net debt levels. To facilitate the management of these ratios, the Trust prepares annual budgets, which are updated depending on varying factors such as general market conditions and successful capital deployment. Currently, all ratios are within acceptable parameters. The annual budget is approved by the Board of Directors. The Trust's unitholders' capital is not subject to any external financial covenants.

There were no changes in the Trust's approach to capital management from the previous year.

| (\$000s) | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Unitholders' equity | 661,003 | 550,717 |
| Long-term debt | 460,000 | 500,000 |
| Working capital (surplus) deficit ⁽¹⁾ | (95,582) | (32,075) |
| | 1,025,421 | 1,018,642 |

⁽¹⁾Current liabilities less current assets (includes unrealized hedging asset of \$35.7 million)

12. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

| (\$000) | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash interest paid during the period | 3,876 | 5,938 | 7,426 | 11,819 |

13. Contingencies and Commitments

Following is a summary of the Trust's commitments related to operating leases as at June 30, 2009. The Trust has no other contractual obligations or commitments as at June 30, 2009.

| (\$000) | June 30, 2009 |
|------------|---------------|
| 2009 | 97 |
| 2010 | 1,036 |
| 2011 | 1,036 |
| 2012 | 1,036 |
| Thereafter | 2,072 |
| | 5,277 |

Contingent Liability

From time to time, Peyto is the subject of litigation arising out of its day-to-day operations. Damages claimed pursuant to such litigation, may be material or may be indeterminate and the outcome of such litigation may materially impact Peyto's financial position or results of operations in the period of settlement. While Peyto assesses the merits of each lawsuit and defends itself accordingly Peyto may be required to incur significant expenses or devote significant resources to defending itself against such litigation. These claims are not currently expected to have a material impact on Peyto's financial position or results of operations.

14. Subsequent Events

On July 6, 2009, the Trust repaid \$40,000,000 on the revolving credit facility.

Peyto Exploration & Development Corp. Information

Officers

Darren Gee
President and Chief Executive Officer

Glenn Booth
Vice-President, Land

Scott Robinson
Executive Vice-President and Chief Operating Officer

Stephen Chetner
Corporate Secretary

Kathy Turgeon
Vice-President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Directors

Don Gray, Chairman
Michael MacBean, Lead Independent Director
Rick Braund
Brian Davis
Darren Gee
Gregory Fletcher
Stephen Chetner

Auditors

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Solicitors

Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP

Bankers

Bank of Montreal
Union Bank, Canada Branch
BNP Paribas (Canada)
Royal Bank of Canada
Alberta Treasury Branches
Société Générale (Canada Branch)
HSBC Bank Canada
Canadian Western Bank

Transfer Agent

Valiant Trust Company

Head Office

2900, 450 – 1st Street SW
Calgary, AB
T2P 5H1

Phone: 403.261.6081

Fax: 403.451.4100

Web: www.peyto.com

Stock Listing Symbol: PEY.un
Toronto Stock Exchange